



PLANK ROAD Land Use Study



9/22 Kick-Off Meeting Worksheet

At the September 22, 2009 Plank Road Land Use Study Kick-Off Workshop, attendees had the opportunity to participate in a series of exercises (described below). Those who were unable to attend the meeting, were able to provide feedback via the project Web page thru October 2, 2009.

Exercise 1

Prior to completing this exercise, you are encouraged to review the study area maps, Naper Notice and other general information provided on the Web page.

Instructions: Please answer the attached questions to share your familiarity with the Plank Road Study Area and your future property plans.

Exercise 2

Prior to completing this exercise, please take a few moments to review the existing land use information available on this Web page.

Instructions: Please use the attached handout to provide your ideas about future land use opportunities in each sub area.

Exercise 3

Complete this exercise to share what you like best about the study area and what concerns you the most.

Instructions: Identify two characteristics you like most about the Plank Road Study Area and two characteristics of concern by circling two items on each handout. To help with this exercise, staff has provided a starting list of characteristics. Please feel free to add your own ideas to the list.

Exercise 4

With this exercise, please imagine yourself traveling in the study area in 2030 and indicate what you would like to see.

Instructions: Identify and prioritize elements of your vision for 2030 by circling two of the items from the list that you believe reflect what you would like to see in 2030. Please feel free to add your own ideas to the list.

Based on your review the existing land use information available on the project web page, please circle any land uses you think are compatible with each sub area. RESPONSES RECEIVED ARE NOTED IN PURPLE.

SUB AREA #1	54 - Low Density Residential	2 - Church
	3 - Medium Density Residential	1 - Educational
	1 - High Density Residential	21 - Other Institutional (e.g. Nursing Home)
	0 - Commercial	8 - Park/Open Space/Golf Course/Cemetery
	0 - Office/Research & Development	OTHER (Please be specific): Trees, Leave As Is, Single Family, Extend Seager Park
	0 - Warehouse/Distribution	

SUB AREA #2	49 - Low Density Residential	4 - Church
	6 - Medium Density Residential	2 - Educational
	5 - High Density Residential	1 - Other Institutional (e.g. Nursing Home)
	1 - Commercial	16 - Park/Open Space/Golf Course/Cemetery
	20 - Office/Research & Development	OTHER (Please be specific): Trees, if office only small, no commercial buildings, water retention
	1 - Warehouse/Distribution	

SUB AREA #3	61 - Low Density Residential	5 - Church
	6 - Medium Density Residential	4 - Educational
	1 - High Density Residential	1 - Other Institutional (e.g. Nursing Home)
	7 - Commercial	11 - Park/Open Space/Golf Course/Cemetery
	7 - Office/Research & Development	OTHER (Please be specific): No high rise/commercial buildings, trees, affordable housing, entire area for senior residence or schools
	0 - Warehouse/Distribution	

SUB AREA #4	63 - Low Density Residential	2 - Church
	4 - Medium Density Residential	1 - Educational
	1 - High Density Residential	0 - Other Institutional (e.g. Nursing Home)
	5 - Commercial	14 - Park/Open Space/Golf Course/Cemetery
	2 - Office/Research & Development	OTHER (Please be specific): Growing Place okay, but rest all residential, no condominiums or apartments, trees, affordable housing
	0 - Warehouse/Distribution	

SUB AREA #5	59 - Low Density Residential	4 - Church
	4 - Medium Density Residential	2 - Educational
	1 - High Density Residential	1 - Other Institutional (e.g. Nursing Home)
	3 - Commercial	10 - Park/Open Space/Golf Course/Cemetery
	6 - Office/Research & Development	OTHER (Please be specific): No apartments or condos, trees, no opinion
	0 - Warehouse/Distribution	

SUB AREA #6	57 - Low Density Residential	4 - Church
	3 - Medium Density Residential	3 - Educational
	1 - High Density Residential	1 - Other Institutional (e.g. Nursing Home)
	1 - Commercial	12 - Park/Open Space/Golf Course/Cemetery
	3 - Office/Research & Development	OTHER (Please be specific): No apartments or condos, trees, medical uses
	0 - Warehouse/Distribution	

During the Kick-Off Workshop on Tuesday, September 22, 2009, participants were asked to indicate the two characteristics they like most about the Plank Road Study Area. Following the workshop this activity was posted on-line through Friday, October 2, 2009 to allow individuals who were unable to attend the meeting to also provide feedback.

The numbers listed in the righthand column reflect the total number of responses received from stakeholders at the meeting and via the Web page.

Proximity to Ogden Avenue & Naper Boulevard	9
Proximity to Naperville Metra Station	8
Proximity to Downtown Naperville	13
Mature trees and landscaping	45
Parks and wildlife	13
Current pattern/mix of land uses	10
Low density residential dominated land use pattern	73
Proximity to I-88	1
Quiet and wildlife	3

During the Kick-Off Workshop on Tuesday, September 22, 2009, participants were asked to indicate the two biggest challenges facing the Plank Road Study Area. Following the workshop this activity was posted on-line through Friday, October 2, 2009 to allow individuals who were unable to attend the meeting to also provide feedback.

The numbers listed in the righthand column reflect the total number of responses received from stakeholders at the meeting and via the Web page.

Utility availability (e.g. water and sewer)	16
Stormwater management	23
Tree preservation and wildlife protection	12
Annexation and redevelopment pressures	16
Vehicle traffic on Plank Road	36
Pedestrian accessibility (e.g. sidewalks, crosswalks, lighting, etc.)	12
Maintaining the current residential land uses	54
Vehicle traffic (Speeding) on Burlington Avenue	3
Speed limit on Tuthill Road (not enforced enough)	5
Speed limit on Radcliff Road	5
Low density residential use on Radcliff Road	1

During the Kick-Off Workshop on Tuesday, September 22, 2009, participants were asked to imagine traveling in the study area in 2030 and indicate the top two characteristics they will like most about the Plank Road Study Area. Following the workshop this activity was posted on-line through Friday, October 2, 2009 to allow individuals who were unable to attend the meeting to also provide feedback.

The numbers listed in the righthand column reflect the total number of responses received from stakeholders at the meeting and via the Web page.

Improved bicycle access	11
Improved sidewalk connectivity along Plank Road	16
Additional landscape (trees) along Plank Road & Naper Boulevard	5
Additional residential choices (duplex, townhomes, senior housing)	7
Additional office uses (medical, dental, or professional)	2
New commercial uses (e.g., retail or restaurant)	2
Expanded industrial use	1
Improved traffic safety (speed, # of cars, etc.)	17
Maintain the mature trees	26
City water, drainage, utilities, etc.	15
Continued domination of low density residential land uses	59