



---

**I.5.**

**Approve an upward adjustment of 2% to the City's non-union pay ranges.**

**Boyd-Obarski:**

- 1. What is the projected cost in the second and third years?**
- 2. What percentage of City employees are non-union?**
- 3. What is the total amount of wages projected to be paid to non-union employees in the 2016 budget?**
- 4. Could you provide a graphic that shows a sample department, the pay range scale and where the employees are on the pay scale?**

1. This information will be provided prior to the meeting tomorrow..
2. 391 Non Union (41%), 570 Union Workers (59%), 961 total Employees based on the 2017 Budget. This does not include Library and Settlement employees.
3. Approximately \$26,955,000
4. This information will be provided prior to the meeting tomorrow.  
(Mayer/Sheehan)

---

**I.7.**

**Receive the report regarding the status of the third revised proposed intergovernmental agreement for shared services between the City of Naperville and the Naperville Township Road District.**

**Gustin: Agenda Item 7- IGA, Has there been any language changes to the document? If so, plz provide redline changes.**

The IGA for shared services between the City of Naperville and Naperville Township Road District was last revised and presented to City Council at the June 7, 2016 Council meeting. No further revisions have been made since that time.

---

**I.8.**

**Pass the ordinance approving a variance to Municipal Code Section 6-6C-7 (R2: Yard Requirements) to reduce the required corner side yard on the subject property to construct a detached garage at 225 N Julian Street, PZC 16-1-114.**

**Hinterlong: Why doesn't staff support the garage facing the alley? It would save 30 feet of Green space ROW and a very old and established mature tree? It would also have better curb appeal and give the residents a larger backyard.**

Staff worked with the petitioner extensively to site the garage in a location to minimize the number of variances required, as well as maximize open space. Rotating the garage to face the alley would require 2 variances (a variance to the maximum required rear yard lot coverage and a variance to the corner side yard

setback) instead of 1 variance; note: if the City Council directs the petitioner to rotate the garage to face the alley, PZC review would be required since this variance was not previously considered through the public hearing. For reference, the backyard area would be the same in either orientation, and the size and location of the proposed garage was designed with the preservation of the parkway tree in mind. The proposed orientation of the garage toward North Avenue also matches the orientation of the neighbor's garage. (Evans)

---

**I.9.**

**Pass the ordinance extending the approved temporary use for an additional year to allow for a Mobile MRI Unit to be located on the Edward Hospital Campus at 801 S. Washington Street through December 31, 2017.**

**Hinterlong: I9. Do we have a contact phone number for Martin Liebrock?**

Yes, I have forwarded Mr. Liebrock's contact information to you. (Laff)

---

**I.10.**

**Pass the ordinance approving a major change to a planned unit development in order to permit a conditional use for a daycare center in accordance with Section 6-8B-3 (ORI District: Conditional Uses) of the Naperville Municipal Code for the subject property located at 2075 Calamos Court, Naperville, PZC 16-1-119.**

**Boyd-Obarski: 1. Would this use have been acceptable if presented in the original PUD? Or, would it have been conditional then too?**

**2. What zoning designations permit a daycare center by right?**

1. The daycare would have required a conditional use if presented at the time of the original PUD approval.

2. A daycare is permitted by right in OCI (Office, Commercial, and Institutional District), BP (Business Park District), and RD (Research and Development District). A daycare is also permitted in HS (Health Services District) provided that the daycare is accessory to a permitted or conditional use in the District (Venard).

**Hinterlong: I10. Where is this going in on page 112 attachment 3?**

Attachment 3 is a location map of the Calamos Corporate campus (south of Westings) and the CityGate campus (north of Westings). The subject property, which is the location of the proposed daycare, is highlighted in orange (Venard).

---

**L.1.**

**Pass the ordinance to amend Chapter 4 (Animal Control), Title 10 (Police Regulations) to add Section 7 (Bee Keeping) of the Naperville Municipal Code.**

**Hinterlong: L1. Can you give square foot sizes that equate to your partial acre examples please?**

The square footages are as follows:

1. Up to three hives will be permitted on properties less than 10,890 square feet (SF) in size
2. Up to six hives will be permitted on properties from 10,890 SF to 21,780 SF in size
3. Up to ten hives will be permitted on properties greater than 21,780 SF in size (Novack)

---

**L.2.**

**Riverwalk Dental, 209 W. Jefferson, rezoning and variances:**

**Coyne: P. 121 I'm hearing different things on the level of neighbor and stakeholder pushback to this development. Does DNA object to the development? Did chairman Finck object? Do the originally objecting direct neighbors now approve? I have to think the World Series will hurt resident turnout at the meeting, we may want to pin these things down now.**

The DNA Management Council approved the parking fee-in-lieu and SSA buy-in at their 8/8/16 meeting. Per Katie Wood, as of Thursday, October 27, 2016, DNA has neither reviewed nor taken a position on the zoning components of the proposed development.

Chris Finck has not contacted staff regarding the proposed development nor submitted any written correspondence or verbal testimony in regards to this case.

Dr. Justin Hunter (neighbor to the west) attended the PZC public hearings to express his concerns regarding the impact of the proposed building on the visibility of his ground sign. In response, the petitioner has shifted the proposed building footprint 2.5' to the north, altered proposed landscape plantings in the front yard, and has submitted a line-of-sight illustration which demonstrates that the sign will continue to be visible post-construction. In order to provide additional assurances, the petitioner has also agreed to relocate the sign and/or pay for a sign variance (if required) if the sign is not visible post-construction. Dr. Hunter has not indicated to staff if he is now satisfied with the proposed development.

In response to concerns raised by Mrs. Nora Borso (neighbor to the north), the petitioner has altered the orientation of their proposed parking lot such that cars parking at 209 W. Jefferson will now face the north property line (as opposed to facing the building, as was originally proposed). On October 20, 2016, Mrs. Borso indicated that, based on the new parking lot design, she was in agreement with the proposed parking lot setback variance along the northern property line and was no longer seeking the installation of a fence along this property line. However, on October 27th, Mrs. Borso contacted staff to voice concerns regarding the landscaping proposed along the northern property line. Mrs. Borso contacted the petitioner to share these concerns and today confirmed with staff the petitioner has agreed to replace the proposed chokeberry shrubs with arborvitae in this area based on Mrs. Borso's requests. (Laff)

**Hinterlong: L2. Does the SSA cover the snow removal of their parking lot? I would guess that they would have to truck the snow out of the lot because there's no where for them to put it without losing spots?**

**Is retail allowed in B5?**

**Tell me the difference between 6-7E-3:3 and 6-7E-4:8?**

**What is the FAR of the project?**

**What is the height to the peak for this building?**

Q: Does the SSA cover the snow removal of their parking lot? I would guess that they would have to truck the snow out of the lot because there's no where for them to put it without losing spots?

A: The SSA does not include snow removal on private parking lots.

Q: Is retail allowed in B5?

A: General retail is a conditional use in B5. Requests for retail would be reviewed through a public hearing held before the Planning and Zoning Commission with final approval by City Council.

Q: Tell me the difference between 6-7E-3:3 and 6-7E-4:8?

A: Section 6-7E: 3.3 provides that "specialty food establishments" may be requested as a conditional use while Section 6-7E-4.8 specifically prohibits restaurants and fast food establishments. "Specialty food establishments" are defined as: A limited service establishment (without wait staff) that offers specialty snack and food products and/or beverages. Examples include bakeries, butcher and produce markets, spice shops, tea shops, coffee shops, ice cream, candy, popcorn, and cookie shops, and carry out establishments. Specialty food establishments may have up to twenty-five percent (25%) of the interior customer space dedicated to patron seating.

Q: What is the FAR of the project?

A: The proposed FAR is 0.42 (3,500 square feet of building square footage/8337 square feet of lot area; note: the 1,352 square feet of basement area is excluded from this calculation (as provided in the code) due to its proposed use as storage only).

Q: What is the height to the peak for this building?

A: The height to the peak of the building is 34'7". (Laff)

---

### **L.3.**

**Adopt the resolution authorizing the Department of Public Utilities-Water/Wastewater to accept the Special Conditions to the City of Naperville's 2016 NPDES Permit for the Springbrook Water Reclamation Center.**

**Gustin: L.3, page 206- as expenses increase each year how will these payments be covered? By users, upstream discharge participants or other?**

The City's/Utility's portion of the payments will come from the Utility's Operations and Maintenance Budget. The Utility's O and M Budget is funded through the utility rates. The Utility is currently conducting a Cost of Service Rate Study and will include the noted funding in the analysis.

In addition to the proposed in stream projects in the Lower DuPage Watershed, members of the DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup (DRSCW) have also committed over \$13 million for in stream projects in the East and West Branches of the DuPage River of which Naperville will be the downstream beneficiary.

The membership of the DRSCW is comprised of the Cities and Villages in the DuPage River Watershed and the sanitary sewer districts discharging to the DuPage Rivers (East, West). Members of the Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition are also communities and sanitary sewer districts on the main stem of the DuPage River, downstream of the confluence of the East and West Branches of the DuPage River. Member contributions are determined by their permitted treatment plant capacity. Naperville's treatment plant is the largest plant in the DuPage River Watershed. Both the DRSCW and the LDRWC use the same formula in calculating member contributions. (Holzapfel)

**Hinterlong: L3. What skin does Warrenville have in this?**

**How much are the consulting fees from the Conservation Foundation? I thought they did things pro-bono for the betterment of their cause?**

**What are the Feds or state doing to regulate the farmers that are contributing to the problem? Are we subsidizing the farmers? What skin do they have in this?**

The City of Warrenville pays for wastewater treatment for the flow they send to Naperville which includes capital improvements and operational and maintenance expenditures. The City of Warrenville will pay for

their share of the improvements based on their proportionate share of flow treated at the Springbrook Water Reclamation Center.

In 2015-2016, the Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition (LDRWC) paid the Conservation Foundation \$40,041 for staff time spent on the Workgroup's behalf, including developing and conducting environmental studies, project analysis and outreach. The members of the LDRWC share in the cost of the Conservation Foundation efforts.

Currently, there are no mechanisms forcing agriculture to reduce nutrient application or the associated land wash of nutrients to the waterways. However, there are agricultural agencies like the Illinois Corn Growers Association and the Illinois Farm Bureau that have been very active in promoting best management practices resulting in nutrient management, lower expenses and better water quality. (Holzapfel)

