



**NAPERVILLE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION  
DRAFT MINUTES OF AUGUST 24, 2016**

<b>Call to Order</b>		<b>7:00 p.m.</b>
<b>A. Roll Call</b>		
Present:	Doyle, Jacks, Lucibello, Peterson, Fissinger, Anderson (non-voting), Ogg (non-voting)	
Absent:	Hall, Martinez	
Student Members:	None	
Staff Present:	Planning Team – Gabrielle Mattingly, Erin Venard	
<b>B. Minutes</b>	Approve the minutes of the August 4, 2016 Historic Preservation Commission meeting.	
	Motion by: Lucibello Second by: Fissinger	Approved (5 to 0)
<b>C. Old Business</b>		
C1. COA # 16-2823 142 N. Columbia Street	The owner and petitioner, Ashley and Rob Crawford, request approval of COA # 16-2823 in order to change the porch style at the property located at 142 N. Columbia Street	
	<p>Rob and Ashley Crawford, spoke as the petitioners:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A. Crawford: Since the last meeting, we took pictures of the underside of the porch and discovered wood underneath. The flare is only part of the design and is not part of the structure.</li> <li>• R. Crawford: We preferred the lattice design; the architect said the spindles were more appealing. The structure is being changed because the existing wood is not in good condition.</li> <li>• Tom Ryan (architect): It was hard to get a view underneath because of the cover; was able to place camera under the porch and it did not look good. There was a lot of wood inside. It was very inexpensive looking and constructed poorly with only 3, 4x4 posts. There was no continuity with the inside of the porch to the look of the design. Clients considered adding another column to beautifying the design underneath; decided it made more sense to create a symmetrical design on the outside instead of a fluid design underneath the porch. Planning on leaving the roof and the beam and replacing the columns while making them wider. This would be more appropriate style wise. The</li> </ul>	

	<p>spindles have dimension and shadows; they fit in more with the historical feel versus the lattice.</p>	
	<p>Historic Preservation Commission inquired about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lucibello: What is staff’s recommendation?</li> <li>• Venard: Staff’s recommendation remains the same as with the previous iteration of the plan; we are in support.</li> <li>• Peterson: Is the deck framing to remain?</li> <li>• Ryan (architect): We do not think so. It would be difficult for someone not to demolish the framing.</li> <li>• Peterson: Do you already have a permit?</li> <li>• A. Crawford: Yes.</li> <li>• Peterson: The permit did not indicate that you were changing the frame of the structure? It only indicated you were changing the flooring.</li> <li>• R. Crawford: We would like to change the structure because it is not structurally sound.</li> <li>• Peterson: What about the size of the railings?</li> <li>• A. Crawford: When I spoke to Fred Filippo with the City of Naperville he recommended 36” for the height of the railings.</li> <li>• Ryan (architect): However, 30” is more historically accurate.</li> <li>• Peterson: I think you need to revise the permit to change the size of the railings and to state you are changing the framing structure.</li> <li>• Ogg: What will the railings be made out of and what will they look like?</li> <li>• Ryan (architect): No one uses wood railings; we need to use metal so they do not rot; just a simple design.</li> <li>• Ogg: I think the spindles fit in with the arts and crafts style.</li> <li>• Peterson: This design is a huge improvement.</li> <li>• Doyle: Did someone from the city look at the porch?</li> <li>• Venard: The building inspector from the city was not able to provide any information unless the petitioner removed the wood paneling.</li> <li>• Jacks: Have you gotten any feedback from the neighbors?</li> <li>• R. Crawford: Yes, neighbors were very positive that we were trying to improve the house.</li> </ul>	
	<p>Motion to approve of COA # 16-2823 in order to change the porch style at the property located at 142 N. Columbia Street.</p>	
	<p>Motion by: Lucibello          Seconded by: Doyle</p> <p>Ayes: Doyle, Jacks, Lucibello, Peterson, Fissinger          Nays: None          Absent: Hall, Martinez</p>	<p>Approved          (5 to 0)</p>

<b>D. Certificates of Appropriateness</b>	
<b>E. Reports and Recommendations</b>	
<b>F. Correspondence</b>	
<b>G. New Business</b>	
<b>H. Adjournment</b>	<b>7:31 p.m.</b>